

1
2
3
4
5
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON**
8 **AT TACOMA**

9 PETER A. LINDAHL,

10 Petitioner,

11 v.

12 PATRICK R. GLEBE,

Respondent.

NO. C10-5274 BHS/KLS

ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF
TIME TO FILE REPLY

13 Before the court is Petitioner's Motion for Extension of Time. Dkt. 13. Petitioner
14 seeks a three month extension of time, until November 1, 2010, to file his reply to
15 Respondent's response to his petition for writ of habeas corpus. Dkt. 11. Respondent
16 maintains that the petition must be dismissed because it is untimely under the federal statute
17 of limitations, 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d). *Id.*

18 Petitioner does not explain why he requires three additional months to file his reply.
19 Dkt. 11. Thus, the court will allow a short extension, but finds no good grounds for a lengthy
20 three month extension as requested by Petitioner. Accordingly, it is **ORDERED:**

21 1. Petitioner's motion for an extension of time to file his reply to Respondent's is
22 **GRANTED** to the extent that Petitioner shall file his reply **on or before October 15, 2010.**

23 2. The Clerk shall **renote** Petitioner's habeas petition for **October 15, 2010.**
24
25
26

